

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/845,513	0-	4/30/2001	Peter Lenehan	A1696	2082	
7590 03/11/2005			EXAMINER			
Stephen R Se	ccombe		ALEXANDER, LYLE			
Sheldon & Mal		.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
9th Floor			1743			
Pasadena, CA 91101				DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/845,513	LENEHAN, PETER
Examiner	Art Unit
Lyle A Alexander	1743

	Lyle A Alexander	1743	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance time periods:	an amendment, affidavit, or other peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	evidence, which place e with 37 CFR 41.31;	es the or (3) a
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CAppeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two mont CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	ths of the date of filing of the appeal. Since a	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bein appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <i>none</i> . Claim(s) objected to: <i>none</i> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North and the sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicants argue the cited prior art fails to teach the classification of record meets the structural requirements of the pend be a structural means and appears to be directed to a new factor of the pend be a structural means.	imed "simulated oxygen sensor sig ing claims. The "simulated oxyger nethod of intended use which is of	nals". The Office ma sensor signals" does no patentable mome	intains the art not appear to
to the pending apparatus claims	/DTO/00/00		
to the pending apparatus claims 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(P10/SB/08 OF P10-1449) Paper	INO(\$)	/

Lyle A Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743

re